IN THE COURT OF PRINCIPAL DISTRICT JUDGE AT JANGAON

PRESENT: **SMT B. PRATHIMA,**
Prl. District Judge
Jangaon

Thursday, the 16th day of April, 2026

D.O.P. NO. 2 OF 2026

Between:

1. Ganapaka @ Katukuri Anusha D/o Chandraiah W/o Ashok, Age: 28 years, Occupation: Housewife, R/o. Kodur Village, Raghunathpally Mandal, Jangaon District.

2. Katukuri Ashok S/o Salaiah, Age: 33 years, Occupation: Pvt. Employee, R/o. Kodur Village, Raghunathpally Mandal, Jangaon District.

... Petitioners.

AND

NIL

…Respondent.

This Petition having come before me for final hearing in the presence of **Sri L. Srujan Kumar,** Advocate for the petitioners and the matter having stood over till this day for consideration, this Court made the following:

:: O R D E R ::

1. This petition is filed under Section 10(A) of Indian Divorce Act 2001, seeking dissolution of marriage of petitioners No.1 and 2 by granting Decree of Divorce on mutual consent.

2. Petitioners No.1 and 2 contended that they are legally wedded wife and husband, their marriage was solemnized on 18-06-2020 at Yesukreesthu Prardhana Mandhiramu, Kodur village, Raghunathpally Mandal, Jangaon according to Christian religion customary rites, they are not blessed with any issues, that after marriage petitioner No.1 joined the marital society of petitioner No.2 and they both resided together for a short period, thereafter, they started quarreling on petty issues and misunderstandings arose between them, due to which both the petitioners No.1 and 2 started living separately from September, 2025, the matter was placed before both sides elders and well wishers, elders tried to settle the dispute between the parties, but petitioners No.1 and 2 stated that they are against each other and there is no possibility of reconciliation or reunion between them, hence, the petition on mutual consent for grant of divorce.

3. Petitioners further contended that they exchanged their respective belongings, valuables and other articles and petitioner No.2 also agreed to pay Rs.8,00,000/- (Rupees Eight lakhs only) to petitioner No.1 towards full and final settlement including permanent alimony, accordingly petitioner No.2 paid Rs.3,00,000/- to petitioner No.1 and petitioner No.1 acknowledged the same and agreed to pay the remaining balance of Rs.5,00,000/- at the time of granting mutual divorce from the Court.

4. This case was filed on 25-02-2026. Reconciliation efforts were made but both parties filed IA 283/2026 seeking to waive off the cooling period of six (06) months stating that their marriage was performed in the year 2020, that there is no compatibility and they are residing separately from September, 2023 and they intend to take divorce due to incompatibility. Accordingly, said IA was allowed on 08.04.2026 waiving off the cooling period of six (06) months. Both petitioners No.1 and 2 filed

their testimony by way of chief-affidavits as PW1 and PW2 reiterating the pleas they made in the petition and sought to grant divorce on mutual consent.

5. Heard Learned counsel for the petitioners. I have perused the record.

6. The point for determination is -

Whether the petitioners No.1 and 2 are entitled for dissolution of their marriage by mutual consent ?

Point:

7. Chief affidavit of PW1 is reiteration of the facts pleaded in the petition and she stated that as per the terms of compromise, herself and petitioner No.2 have mutually agreed to dissolve their marriage by way of mutual consent, that they have amicably settled all disputes between them including return of articles, valuables and other belongings.

8. PW1 further stated that she already received Rs.3,00,000/- and balance amount of Rs.5,00,000/- will be paid by petitioner No.2 at the time of Decree. PW1 stated that she received all her belongings, valuables and other articles from petitioner No.2 and that they have no further claims towards maintenance, permanent alimony or any other benefits against each other. She further stated that they both have undertaken not to initiate any civil or criminal proceedings against each other and also not to interfere in each other's personal lives.

9. Petitioner No.2 examined himself as PW2 and through his chief affidavit he reiterated the facts pleaded in the petition and stated similar to that of PW1 that himself and PW1 mutually agreed to dissolve their marriage by way of mutual consent, that they have amicably settled all disputes between them including return of articles, and other belongings and that petitioner No.1 already received Rs.3,00,000/- out of Rs.8,00,000/- and agreed to receive remaining balance amount of Rs.5,00,000/-

at the time of decree, that petitioner No.1 also received all her Gold ornaments, jewelry and personal articles from him and that they have no further claims towards maintenance, permanent alimony or any other benefits against each other. PW2 further stated that they both have undertaken not to initiate any civil or criminal proceedings against each other and also not to interfere in each other's personal lives.

10. Petitioners got marked Ex.A1 to A3. Ex.A1 is original wedding card showing the date of marriage of petitioners No.1 and 2 as 18-06-2020, Ex.A2 is two (02) wedding photographs of the petitioners, Ex.A3 is the xerox copy of Electricity Bill.

11. In view of the testimony of PW1 and PW2, I hold that the marriage of PW1 and PW2 performed on 18-06-2020 at Yesukreesthu Prardhana Mandhiramu, Kodur village, Raghunathpally Mandal, Jangaon, as per Christian religious rites and customs has to be dissolved. I also do not see any collusion between petitioners No.1 and 2 seeking for divorce on ground of mutual consent and there do not appear any chances of continuing the marital union between the parties. Hence, the marriage of Petitioners No.1 and 2 is hereby dissolved on mutual consent. The point is answered accordingly.

12. **IN THE RESULT,** the petition is Allowed. The marriage of petitioner No.1 wife and petitioner No.2 husband performed on 18-06-2020 as per Christian religious rites and customs is dissolved from the date of passing this Order by granting Decree of Divorce.

Typed to my Dictation by Stenographer, Corrected and Pronounced by Me in the Open Court on this the 16th day of April, 2026.

Sd/-XXXX

PRL. DISTRICT JUDGE
JANGAON

APPENDIX OF EVIDENCE

WITNESSES EXAMINED

For Petitioners:	**For Respondent**:
PW1: Ganapaka @ Katukuri Anusha	-NONE-
PW2: Katukuri Ashok	

DOCUMENTS MARKED

For Petitioners:	**For Respondent**:
Ex.A1: Marriage Wedding Card dt. 18-06-2020	-NIL-
Ex.A2: Marriage Photographs (02) of Parties.	
Ex.A3: Xerox copy of Electricity Bill.	

Sd/-XXXX
PRL. DISTRICT JUDGE
JANGAON